

[Threat Level](#)

[Privacy, Crime and Security Online](#)

[Previous post](#)

[Next post](#)

NSA Whistleblower: Wiretaps Were Combined with Credit Card Records of U.S. Citizens

By [Kim Zetter](#)  January 23, 2009 | 4:00 am | Categories: [NSA](#), [Surveillance](#)

NSA whistleblower Russell Tice was back on Keith Olbermann's MSNBC program Thursday evening to expand on his Wednesday revelations that the National Security Agency spied on individual U.S. journalists, entire U.S. news agencies as well as "tens of thousands" of other Americans.

Tice said on Wednesday that the NSA had [vacuumed in all domestic communications of Americans](#), including, faxes, phone calls and network traffic.

Today Tice said that the spy agency also combined information from phone wiretaps with data that was mined from credit card and other financial records. He said information of tens of thousands of U.S. citizens is now in digital databases warehoused at the NSA.

"This [information] could sit there for ten years and then potentially it marries up with something else and ten years from now they get put on a no-fly list and they, of course, won't have a clue why," Tice said.

In most cases, the person would have no discernible link to terrorist organizations that would justify the initial data mining or their inclusion in the database.

"This is garnered from algorithms that have been put together to try to just dream-up scenarios that might be information that is associated with how a terrorist could operate," Tice said. "And once that information gets to the NSA, and they start to put it through the filters there . . . and they start looking for word-recognition, if someone just talked about the daily news and mentioned something about the Middle East they could easily be brought to the forefront of having that little flag put by their name that says 'potential terrorist'."

The revelation that the NSA was involved in data mining isn't new. The infamous 2004 hospital showdown between then-White House Counsel Alberto Gonzales and Deputy Attorney General James Comey over the legality of a government surveillance program [involved the data mining of massive databases](#), according to a 2007 *New York Times* article.

But there was always a slight possibility, despite the suspicions of many critics, that the NSA's data mining involved only people who were legitimately suspected of connections to terrorists overseas, as the Bush Administration staunchly maintained about its domestic phone wiretapping program.

"There's no spying on Americans," former Director of National Intelligence Mike McConnell [insisted to the New Yorker](#) last year.

But Tice's assertions this week contradict these claims.

With regard to the surveillance of journalists, Tice wouldn't disclose the names of the specific reporters or media outlets he targeted when he worked as an analyst for the NSA but said in the part of the program he

covered, “everyone was collected.”

“They sucked in everybody and at some point they may have cherry-picked from what they had, but I wasn’t aware of who got cherry-picked out of the big pot,” he said.

The purpose, he was told, was to eliminate journalists from possible suspicion so that the NSA could focus on those who merited further surveillance. But Tice said on Wednesday that the data on journalists was collected round-the-clock, year-round, suggesting there was never an intent to eliminate anyone from the surveillance.

New York Times reporter James Risen, who co-authored that paper’s 2005 story on the warrantless wiretapping program with colleague Eric Lichtblau, suspects he could have been among those monitored, because Bush Administration officials obtained copies of his phone records, which they showed to a federal grand jury. The grand jury is investigating leaked information that appeared in Risen’s 2006 book *State of War* about a CIA program, codenamed Operation Merlin, to infiltrate and destabilize Iran’s nuclear program. Risen doesn’t know if his records were obtained by the FBI with a legitimate warrant or through the NSA program that Tice described.

Risen told Olbermann that the NSA program to monitor journalists was likely intended to be used to ferret out and intimidate possible sources “to have a chilling effect on potential whistleblowers in the government to make them realize that there’s a Big Brother out there that will get them if they step out of line.”

Who else might have been among those targeted by the NSA?

Senator Jay Rockefeller (D-West Virginia) said, in a separate interview, that he could very well have been targeted, too.

Rockefeller was speaking to MSNBC host Chris Matthews and gave a cryptic reply when Matthews asked him what he thought about Tice’s spying allegations (see 4:14 in the video below).

“I’m quite prepared to believe it,” Rockefeller said. “I mean, I think they went after anybody they could get. Including me.”

Matthews replied, “They didn’t eavesdrop on you, did they Senator?”

“No,” Rockefeller said shaking his head, “and they sent me no letters.”

If Rockefeller were among those who were spied on, it would be very ironic, since he [was instrumental in helping the Bush Administration obtain retroactive immunity](#) for the telecommunications companies that are accused of aiding the Administration in its warrantless surveillance program.

See Also:

- [Bush Spy Revelations Anticipated When Obama Is Sworn In](#)
- [In Final Legal Act, Bush Appeals Spy Ruling](#)
- [Obama Promises New Era of Openness](#)
- [Is the NSA Spying on U.S. Internet Traffic?](#)
- [The NSA Is on the Line — All of Them](#)

[Post Comment](#) | [Permalink](#)

Comments (86)

Posted by: ShaJ | 01/24/09 | 6:29 pm |

@VulTX You standing buck naked Mentally, Psychology and almost Physically in front of me, I can see you were a handsome man, scar and all. If I we gay, I would ask you out. But I am not, sorry. So, please look up Travis K. Sorrows, he can help you all. Peace.

Posted by: Bronx Black Man | 01/24/09 | 9:24 pm |

So FRIGGIN what? Its the NSA's job to secure the country. If I were an acquaintance with someone who had relatives or friends with nefarious connections, Then I would want to know about it. If the NSA came knocking on my door to ask if I knew a certain person then so be it. As long as I dont get beat up to giver the answers. Heck If someone I knew was a criminal then I would want to know myself.

And for those liberal swine who compalin about the Internet Being tapped...get over it. The Government designed, built and gave us the internet. It does not belong top the elite liberals twho think they know more and are better than everyone else.

If a reporter has inside information on terrorists both foreign or domestic the the NSA is right to follow their trail. Think those MF ers gonna sing KUMBAYAH with us????

If you dont like the internet being tapped, then use a frigging pen and papaer and hire csome carrier pigeons.

If this guy is a real whistleblower then I consider him a traitor to this country and deserves severe (waterboarding) punishment.

signed

A black man who DID NOT VOTE for OBAMA

Posted by: Bronx Black Man | 01/24/09 | 9:33 pm |

Oh BTW as a routing engineer. No message posted over the internet is private. It would be analougous to overhearing a conversation in restaurant or a crowded subway car.

No matter what it cannot be stopped.

If you so concerned about privacy then you would use Google or Yahoo both of whom actually reads your emails and the directs advertisibnng andas well as keep an exetnvie log os your cookies and ip locations.

you want privacy, then go back to the 1600's otherwise, know that they will know about your gay porn and other ditasteful stuff you do.

I can use your user name and figuiure out where you live, how much you make and what you do for a living, who you have sex with and what you eat for dinner.

And thats just me, so imagine what the NSA can do. But you aint worth the time, so just know data is collected on everybody, everywhere and everytime.

watch who you keep friends with, what dvd's you buy and dot do anything illegal over the web.

Posted by: ShaJ | 01/25/09 | 6:40 am |

@VultureTX; This is you and all Feds, look see yourself and know we all now know, who and what you and your Fed buddies are;

1) Very suspicious and distrustful of anyone not of their own culture;

2) Too self-assured and self confident, to need, or ask for help in perceived as a sign of weakness, value self-reliance seeking help for any issue; (VulTX)

3) May possess above-average intelligence; (VulTX)

4) Perfectionist? Overly judgmental of self and others, takes great pride in profession not wanting to bring any shame on it or themselves; (VulTX)

5) Over identify with job resulting in isolating with others in culture which leads to alienation; great deal of personal identify tied up in professional identity; it's much more than just a job, it's a way of life; (VulTX)

6) Crisis oriented; seek and thrive on excitement and stress; always want to be "in the thick of things"; (VulTX)

7) Defensive and tend to overreact when challenged; take charge personality; (VulTX)

😬 Difficulty dealing with feelings valuing cognitive abilities; repression of feelings is a self-protecting mechanism; projection and rationalization used to cope with distress which tends to encourage maladaptive behaviors often resulting in maladies such as depression, despair, LONELINESS and cynicism; (VulTX)

9) Resistant to surrendering self-control; "powerlessness" is considered a sign of weakness;

10) Tendency to equate physical health with mental health; physical and mental illness are perceived, sometimes rightly so, as career damaging or ending; treatment for any affliction, especially perceived "mental illnesses" is viewed unsafe and career damaging; very stigma oriented;

11) Being deceptive and manipulative is a valuable trait; Feds are trained in techniques of dealing with deceptive persons and in interviewing skills; (VulTX)

12) Resistant to change; tend to view things in black or white, right or wrong.

Posted by: WorseThanNormal | 01/25/09 | 10:27 am |

One thing that has never been pointed out about the "warrant-less wiretapping" programs is something Bush said about them when the NT story hit. He claimed the power to do it because he was a war-time president. His logic was, intelligence is a type of military force and as commander-in-chief in wartime he had the

power to direct military force as he saw fit. Of course his statement stopped there, because he certainly didn't want to follow his line of reasoning to its conclusion by saying, "So I ordered the use of military force against U.S. citizens on their own soil."

Posted by: Bill | 01/25/09 | 12:39 pm |

Guess what, the US government doesn't care about what the vast majority of you do, read, buy or call. In fact all of your data is nothing more than statistical noise that the NSA algorithms plow through to get the relevant data that they need to find the links between terrorists, their US contacts, and the flow of money to their organizations. This is not a wiretap program in the classic sense. The NSA is not listening to you! Russell Tice is nothing more than a disgruntled employee who would sacrifice the safety our country to be on the news and make himself seem important. The true Americans are the men and women who work to keep our country safe and don't talk to the press about programs they are involved with. This prevents the NY Times from compromising our safety. Tice is a traitor.

Posted by: this thing here | 01/25/09 | 2:45 pm |

@bill,

how could the NSA plow through all the data with their algorithms to get to the relevant data if they weren't listening to everything?

how can you separate the wheat from the chaff if you don't put the entire kernel in the machine?

Posted by: VultureTX | 01/25/09 | 3:33 pm |

@shaj Sorry dude I ain't MikeH.

And please post that one more time in a different forum so I can file on you as a stalker. I mean you know better than to repost with a targeted attack right? Especially one that is full of hatred and self loathing about the US govt. , when my own public activities and professional acts show otherwise.

@this thing here

well since it has been explained you, I assume you don't want to learn. But in case you do, try working it out. State just what information the NSA can capture and store and what they can learn from it. Or admit that you don't have a clue about a process that is older than you are. And has not been successfully challenged. email headers=pen register info -flame away but shaj has a better chance than you do.

Posted by: this thing here | 01/25/09 | 4:59 pm |

vultureTX,

a process that's older than I am, huh? I didn't know email header filtering was that old.

I don't have to know exactly what information the NSA can capture and store and use, vultureTX. all I have to know is that they are using manpower and resources to search it. that's all I need to know that it has intelligence value.

and when you yourself cannot tell me the information collected is anonymous and "harmless", that tells me such information contains personal information, UNLIKE a pen register. and therefore, such information is

subject to 4th amendment protection.

I don't flame vultureTX. I just try to make it very difficult for worthless, bullshit arguments to sit there stinking, and the illegal activities such bullshit allows to go unchallenged.

Posted by: m3kt3K | 01/25/09 | 7:42 pm |

HMMM I think the prez is still doing this now... OHHHH wait its ok because its Obama..

Posted by: GeorgeOrwell84 | 01/25/09 | 9:35 pm |

Some people take comfort in the fact that all of us are being spied upon, so there must be safety in numbers. Mr. Tice's revelations about journalists shows that this just isn't so. Everything is being vacuumed up, but then someone, apparently well above Mr. Tice's level, is deciding whose communications are worth their while to sift through. Terrorism is the current excuse, but this predates 9/11 and the only thing that's changed is that the technology for doing it has gotten a lot better. Remember that agencies like the NSA, CIA, etc. were created to spy on, destabilize, or manipulate foreign governments by focusing on opinion makers (academics, journalists, etc.) and politicians. They have enjoyed some "successes," usually in out of the way places that weren't terribly stable anyway. Their ability to affect change in more important countries like the Soviet Union or, in today's world, China, is far more circumscribed. Now, imagine they can turn all their resources loose on their own government and citizenry. Better than any third-world country, because there's a lot more to be gained by it (including bloated budgets for their own agencies). Better than working in Russia or China, because you have the full cooperation of the telcos, local law enforcement — and, apparently, no worries about what could happen to you if you get caught. If you thought your vote mattered after the lobbyists got through paying your Congressman off (or funding his opponent in the event he's not amenable), what do you think it must mean after these boys get through going over him? Kind of helps you to understand how the Guatemalans and Chileans must have felt at certain points in their recent history, doesn't it?

Posted by: GeorgeOrwell84 | 01/25/09 | 9:48 pm |

Some people take comfort in the fact that all of us are being spied upon, so there must be safety in numbers. Mr. Tice's revelations about journalists shows that this just isn't so. Everything is being vacuumed up, but then someone, apparently well above Mr. Tice's level, is deciding whose communications are worth their while to sift through. Terrorism is the current excuse, but this predates 9/11 and the only thing that's changed is that the technology for doing it has gotten a lot better. Remember that agencies like the NSA, CIA, etc. were created to spy on, destabilize, or manipulate foreign governments by focusing on opinion makers (academics, journalists, etc.) and politicians. They have enjoyed some "successes," usually in out of the way places that weren't terribly stable anyway. Their ability to affect change in more important countries like the Soviet Union or, in today's world, China, is far more circumscribed. Now, imagine they can turn all their resources loose on their own government and citizenry. Better than any third-world country, because there's a lot more to be gained by it (including bloated budgets for their own agencies). Better than working in Russia or China, because you have the full cooperation of the telcos, local law enforcement — and, apparently, no worries about what could happen to you if you get caught. If you thought your vote mattered after the lobbyists got through paying your Congressman off (or funding his opponent in the event he's not amenable), what do you think it must mean after these boys get through going over him? Kind of helps you to understand how the Guatemalans and Chileans must have felt at certain points in their recent history, doesn't it?

Posted by: Jonas | 01/26/09 | 7:32 am |

While I used to think, “I don’t care if they tap me because as I’m not doing anything wrong” this has changed for me.

It starts with capturing all the data. It then moves to analyzing data that has certain dangerous keywords. It then moves to someone making a decision as to what a dangerous keyword should be (political, moral, etc.) Then it moves to who defines morality, political, etc. Then it moves to who falls under the bucket of suspicion based on all these new keywords. Then it finally comes to who, of these individuals should we go after? The laws now are applied selectively instead of equally to all.

According to my list above, liberty and the law left a long time ago.

J

Posted by: florian | 01/26/09 | 7:35 am |

Most people in US think that everything has to put to the background just to make a point of so called safety. This a lie, you can’t make a country 100% safe, just because government can do everything they want. So you’re own government knows just al you’re financial stuff and wel just everything els they want to know. So your goverment has the right to investigate en put al your personal stuff on a digital profile just because they like it. This is not freedom or democratic government, but as bad as a third world quantrie with a dictator or russia, who kill people and spie people just because they want absolute power(the elite). In europa it’s a big no go issue to investigate a journalist and when it does appear you have to make a really big argument to do this. When even journalist are on a bad list for nothing, the freedom of information is gone and everthing what is on the news and in the papers is now complete bullshit(was allready bad, but now much worse). Journalist are being compromised by there own goverment, because when they write a criticall cover abut the goverment they just say now you’re on the bad list. So where is you’re freedom!!!!

And as a european I don’t know al what is happening in america, but 1 thing is a no go issue to spy on someone is bad, but on journalist is really bad!

Posted by: ShaJ | 01/26/09 | 7:38 am |

from articles by a real fed agent and is true, look up Travis K. Sorrows. As for wanting a piece of me, I say “Bring It On” Beatch. As for you saving America Countless times, I think you really believe it. And I think you are so delusional and pathetic you wish, you had a stalker. All the best. ShaJ PS if you could find UBL, I would be so proud of you, drinks would be on me all night. Go for it and make us all proud. You da Man! Peace.

Posted by: cheryl | 01/26/09 | 9:36 am |

@vulture

“@shaj Sorry dude I ain’t MikeH.

And please post that one more time in a different forum so I can file on you as a stalker. I mean you know better than to repost with a targeted attack right? Especially one that is full of hatred and self loathing about the US govt. , when my own public activities and professional acts show otherwise.”

How do you perceive that as a threat?

i.e., what words are threatening? None. Disparaging, maybe: but given the anonymity of the forum, there is nothing illegal or otherwise actionable about that.

Your response, on the other hand is expressly threatening. You are threatening to use your (supposed) access to government power to harm another citizen who is expressing an opinion that you find offensive, but that is otherwise in no way illegal.

Try to imagine that the circumstances were reversed, read the article again, and see if you can understand where the irony lies?

Posted by: VultureTX | 01/26/09 | 10:08 am |

@cheryl

Shaj posted that comment in multiple forums now, and it specifically mentions me in a derogatory fashion multiple times. He has also mentioned me in ways attempting to define me in real space.

Please read the definition of a “net stalker”.

And any harm I create in the US is legal or self defense to be reviewed by a grand jury later that it was appropriate. If you find force in any form threatening, then you have issues with authority and need mental counseling.

Posted by: cheryl | 01/26/09 | 10:28 am |

To what definition of “net stalker” do you refer?

“force in any form,” no. Unwarranted threat of force, yes.

Again, how has Shaj threatened you?

Posted by: kyle | 01/26/09 | 8:22 pm |

they know its illegal, they just want to protect us from the fear they created. our life is a farce.

Posted by: Anonymous | 01/26/09 | 9:08 pm |

@vulturetx

“He has also mentioned me in ways attempting to define me in real space.”

so what does that mean?

Posted by: Sonic B. Phuct | 01/28/09 | 1:10 am |

@VultureTX – dude, you are at it again. You are an Idiot; plain and simple, you lack any capacity for clear reasoning. That said, Shut Up, or suffer ShaJ’s “net stalking”!

You accost people for their use of English, yet a complete sentence, let alone a syntactically correct one, is

beyond your grasp. Please stop with the ESL references: you can't get your first language correct; when you have done that, then learn a second language and perfect that as well – then you can start your stupid assaults on incorrect usage.

Second, you show your idiocy and lack of understanding each time you open your mouth. E-mail has headers. IMs do not. Unless, of course, you're talking about packet headers – which is much lower than your IM application layer. If that is what you are talking about, then everything is getting sucked up. As for data analysis... whatever you said was so tortured that I couldn't find any real position or ideas or ... well, you sound like Palin.

Third, what bothers me most about you is your unwavering conviction in all things truly un-American, while at the same time, you've wrapped yourself in a flag pretending some in depth legal knowledge.

At the end of it all, you're an idiot w/o an informed opinion despite seeming to read Threat Level.

Posted by: VultureTX | 01/28/09 | 7:15 am |

@sonic

well hello to you too. Yes I am challenged in English composition. Does not mean many people understand what I say. Besides those who are ESLers stick out for their inability to grasp the context of my posts. Maybe practice will help me.

As for IMs please show me the Data Structure that is used to store them. Now if you strip the msg body what do you have. Funny looks like a header to me.

As for the rest, I expect I have a better historical and legal knowledge of the two blog areas I post in here than 90% of the others. Especially all those who claim unlimited 1st and 4th amendments rights despite SCOTUS rulings otherwise.

Finally please actually contribute something to the discussion or are you just an Ad Hominem account.

Posted by: Anonymous | 01/28/09 | 9:31 am |

90%??

.
.

“And any harm I create in the US is legal or self defense to be reviewed by a grand jury later that it was appropriate.” VultureTX

.
.

2) Too self-assured and self confident, to need, or ask for help in perceived as a sign of weakness, value self-reliance seeking help for

any issue; (Shaj)

.
.

7) Defensive and trend to overreact when challenged; take charge personality; (Shaj)

.
.

Sorry Vulture, I think he has you pegged.

Posted by: VultureTX | 01/28/09 | 6:16 pm |

@null

Yeah well decades of responsibility with the possibility that your mistakes mean would likely cost lives does that to you. Then again regularly hanging with historians puts it in perspective. And talking to lawyers gives the idea that the law should not be layman nor written by lawyers.

/project away , doesn't change history.

Posted by: Stephen Carpenter | 01/29/09 | 8:08 am |

In all this talk, lets not forget one thing.

This was all justified by a "War on Terror". Nobody seems to bring up the one very important point: Terrorism isn't a big deal.

- More people die of heart disease than terrorism.
- More people die from motor vehicle accidents than terrorism
- Terrorist tactics have been shown ineffective except when used against actual military targets

Overall, this entire program was unwarranted. There were once a handful of capable terrorists aimed at us. Most of them died on 9/11, the rest are long gone too.

They were never a credible threat of any kind. Committing a 9/11 attack every week wouldn't be enough to make a dent in our country, never mind just one, ever.

This is all justified by boogeymen.

-Steve

Posted by: VultureTX | 01/29/09 | 8:48 am |

@Steve

"...Committing a 9/11 attack every week wouldn't be enough to make a dent in our country, never mind just one, ever."

Ladies and gentlemen I give you the Saddam Hussein's Iraqi PR minister in his new cover identity.

/Seriously stop mouthing BS , when you can't do math.

Posted by: Anonymous | 01/29/09 | 3:42 pm |

VultureTX,

Perhaps you haven't heard the expression, "Opinions are like a\$\$holes we all have them and they all stink."

Since you seem to be obsessed with math, why not put your OCD tendencies to work and prove 10 is a solitary number. Better to do something meaningful rather than spread your trite philosophy to a bunch of people who don't know you and who could give a rats ass whether you live or die.

Lots of luck with that!

Posted by: SoWhat | 01/29/09 | 6:42 pm |

Who really cares! If this is the price to pay for having security and freedom then gather away. Why is everyone so worried? You only need to be worried if you are doing something wrong.

Posted by: TheDogmaRazor | 01/29/09 | 7:23 pm |

Does anyone know when the Catholic Church started forcing "Confessional" upon their constituent populations?

Forgive me for having functioning synapses, but it seems to me that Catholic Priests of old(simultaneously, agents of the State), given consent to reign with unidirectional/unilateral access (rivaled only by God) to the most guarded secrets of not just one individual but entire populations of component individuals – nodes on the network of interactions we indentify as "societies" – would constitute a perpetual threat to the otherwise glorious freedoms naturally granted to the Human Race through God's everlasting Love manifested in all of creation.

In other words, the dogma justifying this surveillance is so utterly stupid that I feel like I'm watching the opening scene of Being John Malkovich – soon you'll all be screaming "Malkovich!!!" into the mirror. And then I see why the modern archetypal villian The Joker laughs at the absurdity of the human condition, despite his uncontrollable desire to set the world on fire simply because he can...

Posted by: Anonymous | 01/30/09 | 8:58 am |

@SoWhat

You **Are** doing something wrong. Do you deny it?

.
.

Posted by: VultureTX | 01/30/09 | 10:59 am |

@anonymous aka Steve Carpenter

interesting that you could not admit the loss of 150K people and 100+ of the worlds largest office buildings in a year would have no effect. But thanks for proving you english teacher taught you something.

Posted by: shari74 | 02/1/09 | 2:14 pm |

The NSA covers up the criminal histories of their own employees. Here's a total deadbeat who worked at the NSA for 30 years, and still works there. Beat the child support system entirely. Never even appeared in one child support hearing. Made the claim that his appearance in child support hearings violated national

security. What a freaking joke

Deadbeat Dad Works for NSA

<http://www.ripoffreport.com/reports/0/342/RipOff0342595.htm>

Posted by: ShaJ | 02/3/09 | 1:07 pm |

@All Threat Level Room people; on PBS at 8 PM EST Tonight 3 Feb. on Nova "The Spy Factory" by James Bamford. See it and figure out the truth, for yourself!

Posted by: [jejar](#) | 02/15/09 | 7:26 pm |

Thanks, that was a very interesting read. The history behind the facts...

<http://jejar-best-auto-insurance.blogspot.com/>

Posted by: [You car's warrenty is about to expire... < Hello Scriptkitty](#) | 05/5/09 | 9:26 am |

[...] reported that tens of thousands not only had their phones tapped, but also their credit [...]

Posted by: [Who is Russell Tice? | Bushwhacked](#) | 05/17/09 | 5:48 pm |

[...] <http://www.wired.com/threatlevel/2009/01/nsa-whistlebl-1/> open source videofree video playeropen source editorvideo managementonline videovideo editorvideo pluginVideo Player by Kaltura var kaltura_swf = new SWFObject("http://www.kaltura.com/index.php/kwidget/wid/vtq3z4ygxw", "kaltura_player_vtq3z4ygxw", "410", "364", "9", "#000000"); kaltura_swf.addParam("wmode", "opaque"); kaltura_swf.addParam("flashVars", "layoutId=full"); kaltura_swf.addParam("allowScriptAccess", "always"); kaltura_swf.addParam("allowFullScreen", "true"); kaltura_swf.addParam("allowNetworking", "all"); kaltura_swf.write("kaltura_wrapper_vtq3z4ygxw"); jQuery("#kaltura_wrapper_vtq3z4ygxw").append("Video Player by Kaltura"); [...]

[« Previous](#) [1](#) [2](#)